Kanjorski Moakley Sawyer Mollohan Schroeder Kaptur Kennedy (RI) Moran Schumer Kennelly Murtha Scott Kildee Serrano Nadler Skaggs Kleczka Neal Klink Oberstan Slaughter LaFalce Obey Spratt Lantos Olver Stark Levin Ortiz Stokes Lewis (GA) Owens Studds Lofgren Pallone Stupak Lowey Pastor Thompson Payne (NJ) Maloney Thurman Torres Manton Payne (VA) Pelosi Markey Towns Martinez Peterson (FL) Velazquez Mascara Pickett Vento Visclosky Rahall Matsui McCarthy Rangel McDermott Reed Waters Watt (NC) Richardson McHale McKinney Meehan Roemer Williams Meek Wise Rose Menendez Roybal-Allard Woolsey Mfume Rush Wyden Sabo Mineta Wynn Sanders Mink

NOT VOTING-9

Bentsen Lincoln Torkildsen Kelly Reynolds Tucker Kennedy (MA) Tejeda Volkmer

□ 1606

Mr. GUTIERREZ changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. BARCIA changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: "A bill to provide that an application for an injunction restraining the enforcement, operation, or execution of a State law adopted by referendum may not be granted on the ground of the unconstitutionality of such law unless the application is heard and determined by a 3-judge court."

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 1976, AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOP-MENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMIN-ISTRATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1996

Mr. SKEEN submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 1976) making appropriations for Agriculture, rural development, Food and Drug Administration, and related agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes.

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 104-268)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1976) "making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes," having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments numbered 1, 3, 4, 9, 11, 14, 21, 39, 45, 50, 55, 61, 69, 70, 71, 74, 75, 81, 84, 85, 86, 90, 94, 95,

98, 99, 102, 106, 111, 113, 116, 123, 127, 129, 130, 132, 139, 144, 145, 147, 148, 151, 153, 155, 156, 157, 158, and 159.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 19, 22, 24, 27, 30, 46, 52, 53, 54, 56, 58, 60, 63, 64, 66, 67, 73, 76, 77, 79, 80, 82, 83, 88, 97, 101, 110, 112, 115, 120, 133, 138, 140, 141, 142, 143, 146, 149, 150, 154, and agree to the same

Amendment number 2:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 2, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: \$7,500,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment number 8:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 8, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and the matter inserted by said amendment, insert: \$3,797,000: Provided, That no other funds appropriated to the Department in this Act shall be available to the Department for support of activities of congressional relations: Provided further, That not less than \$2,355,000 shall be transferred to agencies funded in this Act to maintain personnel at the agency level; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment number 12:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 12, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$710,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 15:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 15, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$168,734,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 16:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 16, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$20,497,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 17:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 17, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$27,735,000, and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 18:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 18, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$49,846,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 20:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 20, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$96,735,000, and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 23:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 23, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$650,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 25:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 25, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$8,100,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 26:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 26, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: \$9,200,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 28:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 28, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$10,337,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 29:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 29, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$421,929,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 31:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 31, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$268,493,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 32:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 32, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$60,510,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 33:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 33, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$2,943,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 34:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 34, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$7,782,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 35:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 35, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$936,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 36:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 36, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$11,065,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 37:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 37, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$1,203,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 38:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 38, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$9,850,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 40:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 40, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$2,438,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 41:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 41, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$3,291,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 42:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 42, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$1,724,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 43:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 43, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: \$2,709,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 44:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 44, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$25,090,000, and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 47:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 47, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$12,209,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 48:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 48, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed, insert: *\$427,750,000*; and

On page 15, line 22 of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1976, strike "\$10,947,000" and insert in lieu thereof \$10,783,000, and

On page 15, line 26 of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1976, strike ''\$3,363,000'' and insert in lieu thereof \$3,313,000, and

On page 16, line 17 of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1976, strike "\$3,463,000" and insert in lieu thereof \$3,411,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 49:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 49, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed, insert \$331,667,000, and

On page 19, line 16 of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1976, after the word "building" insert: Provided further, That of the funds provided, the Secretary of Agriculture may provide for the funding of all fees or charges under sec. 2509 of Public Law 101-624, codified at 21 U.S.C. 136(a)(c), for any service related to the cost of providing import, entry, diagnostic and quar-

antine services in connection with the 1996 Summer Olympic Games to be held in Atlanta, Georgia; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 51:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 51, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$8,757,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 57:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 57, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$544,906,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 59:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 59, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$795,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 62:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 62, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$1,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 65:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 65, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Delete the sum stricken and the sum proposed by said amendment, and

On page 27, line 17 of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1976, strike all after "disasters" down to and including "property,", and On page 28, line 3 of the House engrossed

On page 28, line 3 of the House engrossed bill. H.R. 1976, strike all after "asters" down to and including "property,"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 68:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 68, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Delete the sum stricken and the sum proposed by said amendment; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 72:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 72, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: *\$629,986,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 78:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 78, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the first sum named in said amendment, insert: \$29,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 87:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 87, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$46,583,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 89:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 89, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Delete the sum stricken and the sum proposed by said amendment, and

On page 39, of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1976, strike all after "loans" on line 25 down to and including "property" on line 26, and

On page 40 of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1976, strike all after "1996" on line 14 down to and including "property," on line 15; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 91:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 92, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: *\$148,723,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 92:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 92, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: : Provided, That no funds for new construction may be available for fiscal year 1996 until the program is authorized; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 93:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 93, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

Delete the sum stricken and the sum proposed by said amendment; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 96:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 96, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$372,897,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 100:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 100, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$2,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 103:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 103, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and the matter inserted by said amendment, insert:

For the cost of direct loans, \$22,395,000, as authorized by the Rural Development Loan Fund (42 U.S.C. 9812(a)): Provided, That such costs, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That these funds are available to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans of \$37,544,000: Provided further, That through June 30, 1996, of these amounts, \$4,322,000 shall be available for the cost of direct loans, for empowerment zones and enterprise communities, as authorized by title XIII of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, to subsidize gross obligations for the principal amount of direct loans, \$7,246,000.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct loan programs, \$1,476,000, of which \$1,470,000 shall be transferred to and merged with the appropriation for "Salaries and Expenses".

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 104:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 104, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$654,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 105:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 105, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$6,500,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 107:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 107, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and the matter inserted by said amendment, insert: \$2,300,000, of which up to \$1,300,000 may be available for the appropriate technology transfer for rural areas program; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 108:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 108, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$525,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 109:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 109, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$56,858,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 114: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 114, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended to read as follows:

RURAL UTILITIES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING TRANSFERS OF FUNDS)

For the cost of direct loans, loan guarantees and grants, as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 1926, 1928, and 1932, \$487,868,000, to remain available until expended, to be available for loans and grants for rural water and waste disposal and solid waste management grants: Provided, That the costs of direct loans and loan guarantees, including the cost of modifying such loans, shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That of the total amount appropriated, not to exceed \$4,500,000 shall be available for contracting with the National Rural Water Association or equally qualified national organizations for a circuit rider program to provide technical assistance for rural water systems: Provided further. That of the total amount appropriated, not to exceed \$18,700,000 shall be available for water and waste disposal systems to benefit the Colonials along the United States/Mexico border, including grants pursuant to section 306C: Provided further, That of the total amount appropriated, \$18,688,000 shall be for empowerment zones and enterprise communities, as authorized by Public Law 103-66: Provided further, That if such funds are not obligated for empowerment zones and enterprise communities by June 30, 1996, they shall remain available for other authorized purposes under this head.

In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out direct loans, loan guarantees, and grants, \$12,740,000, of which \$12,623,000 shall be transferred to and merged with "Rural Utilities Service, Salaries and Expenses".

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 117:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 117, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment, insert: *section 21 of the National School Lunch Act and sections 17 and* 19; and the Senate agree to the same. Amendment numbered 118:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 118, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$7,946,024,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 119:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 119, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: 52,348,166,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 121:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 121, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert the following: : Provided further, That once the amount for fiscal year 1995 carryover funds has been determined by the Secretary, any funds in excess of \$100,000,000 may be transferred by the Secretary of Agriculture to the Rural Utilities Assistance Program and shall remain available until expended; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 122:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 122, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert: : Provided further, That none of the funds in this account shall be available for the purchase of infant formula except in accordance with the cost containment and competitive bidding requirements specified in section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786); and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 124:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 124, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$27,597,828,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 125:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 125, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: \$500,000,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 126:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 126, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended to read as follows:

COMMODITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

For necessary expenses to carry out the commodity supplemental food program as authorized by section 4(a) of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973 (7 U.S.C. 612c(note)), the Emergency Food Assistance Act of 1983, as amended, and section 110 of the Hunger Prevention Act of 1988, \$166,000,000, to remain available through September 30, 1997: Provided, That none of these funds shall be available to reimburse the Commodity Credit Corporation for commodities donated to the program: Provided further, That none of the funds in this Act or any other Act may be used for demonstration projects in the emergency food assistance program.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 128:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate num-

bered 128, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed, amended as follows:

After "That" in said amendment, insert: hereafter, and the Senate agree to same.

Amendment numbered 131:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 131, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$107,769,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 134:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 134, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert: : Provided further, That none of the funds made available by this Act may be used to carry out activities of the market promotion program (U.S.C. 5623) which provides direct grants to any for-profit corporation that is not recognized as a small business concern under section 3(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(a)), excluding cooperatives and associations as described in 7 U.S.C. 291 and non-profit trade associations: Provided further, That funds available to trade associations, cooperatives, and small businesses may be used for individual branded promotions; with the beneficiaries having matched the cost of such promotions; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 135:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 135, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Delete the matter proposed by said amendment, and

On page 57, line 21 of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1976, after "Act" insert: , of which \$60,000,000 shall be financed from funds credited to the Commodity Credit Corporation pursuant to section 426 of Public Law 103-465; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 136:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 136, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert \$12,150,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 137:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 137, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment, insert: \$53,601,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 152:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 152, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert the following:

SEC. 730. None of the funds appropriated or made available to the Food and Drug Administration by this Act shall be used to operate the Board of Tea Experts.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 160:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 160, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed, amended as follows:

Strike "immediately withdraw" and in lieu thereof insert: *not enforce*; and the Senate agree to same.

JOE SKEEN, JOHN T. MYERS,

JAMES T. WALSH, JAY DICKEY, JACK KINGSTON, FRANK RIGGS, GEORGE R. NETHERCUTT, BOB LIVINGSTON, RICHARD J. DURBIN, MARCY KAPTUR (except for amendments 30 and 150 and the provision on APHIS quarantine exemption), RAY THORNTON, NITA M. LOWEY, DAVID R. OBEY (except for amendment 150). Managers on the Part of the House.

THAD COCHRAN,
ARLEN SPECTER,
KIT BOND,
SLADE GORTON,
MITCH MCCONNELL,
CONRAD BURNS,
MARK HATFIELD,
DALE BUMPERS,
TOM HARKIN,
J. ROBERT KERREY,
J. BENNETT JOHNSTON,
HERB KOHL,
ROBERT BYRD,

Managers on the Part of the Senate. JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1976) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

CONGRESSIONAL DIRECTIVES

The conferees agree that executive branch wishes cannot substitute for Congress' own statements as to the best evidence of congressional intentions—that is, the official reports of the Congress. The conferees further point out that funds in this Act must be used for the purposes for which appropriated, as required by section 1301 of title 31 of the United States Code, which provides: "Appropriations shall be applied only to the objects for which the appropriations were made except as otherwise provided by law." Report language included by the House

Report language included by the House which is not changed by the report of the Senate, and Senate report language which is not changed by the conference are approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein.

TITLE I—AGRICULTURAL PROGRAMS
PRODUCTION, PROCESSING, AND MARKETING
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Amendment No. 1: Appropriates \$10,227,000 for the Office of the Secretary as proposed by the House instead of \$12,801,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 2: Provides \$7,500,000 for InfoShare as proposed by the House instead of \$10,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also provides that these funds remain available until expended as proposed by the Senate.

EXECUTIVE OPERATIONS CHIEF ECONOMIST

Amendment No. 3: Appropriates \$3,948,000 for the Office of the Chief Economist as pro-

posed by the House instead of \$3,814,000 as proposed by the Senate.

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Amendment No. 4: Restores House language requiring a cost-benefit analysis of commercial software systems and related work at the National Finance Center with commercial systems.

AGRICULTURE BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES AND RENTAL PAYMENTS

Amendment No. 5: Adds the United States Code citation providing for the delegation of authority from the Administrator of the General Services Administration to the Secretary of Agriculture as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

ADVISORY COMMITTEES (USDA)

Amendment No. 6: Appropriates \$650,000 for USDA Advisory Committees as proposed by the Senate instead of \$800,000 as proposed by the House.

HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT

Amendment No. 7: Makes a technical correction by adding the word "and" to the bill language as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CONGRESSIONAL RELATIONS

Amendment No. 8: Restores House language consolidating all funding for congressional affairs activities into a single account and appropriating \$3,797,000 for such activities. The conferees agree that this consolidation of funds will result in greater efficiencies and oversight of overall departmental activities. The conferees also agree that congressional affairs efforts are more effective if personnel are retained at the agency level. Therefore, the conference agreement includes language transferring not less than \$2,355,000 to agencies funded in this Act to maintain personnel at the agency level.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

| | 1995 level | Conference agreement |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|
| Headquarters | \$1,289,000 | \$967,000 |
| Office of the Chief Economist | 66,000 | 49,000 |
| Office of the Inspector General | 65,000 | 49,000 |
| Agricultural Research Service | 172,000 | 129,000 |
| Cooperative State Research, Education, and | | |
| Extension Service | 160,000 | 120,000 |
| Foreign Agricultural Service | 251,000 | 188,000 |
| Consolidated Farm Service Agency | 474,000 | 355,000 |
| Rural Utilities Service | 189,000 | 142,000 |
| Rural Business and Cooperative Development | | |
| Service | 69,000 | 52,000 |
| Rural Housing and Community Development | 005 000 | 054.000 |
| Service | 335,000 | 251,000 |
| Natural Resources Conservation Service | 197,000 | 148,000 |
| Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service | 135,000 | 101,000 |
| Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Ad- | 21 000 | 1/ 000 |
| ministration | 21,000 | 16,000 |
| Agricultural Marketing Service | 234,000 | 176,000 |
| Food Safety and Inspection Service | 412,000 | 309,000 |
| Food and Consumer Service | 360,000 | 270,000 |
| Intergovernmental Affairs | 475,000 | 475,000 |
| Total | 4,904,000 | 3,797,000 |

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Amendment No. 9: Provides \$95,000 for confidential operational expenses of the Office of the Inspector General as proposed by the House instead of \$125,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 10: Provides the Office of the Inspector General with authority to use funds transferred through forfeiture proceedings for authorized law enforcement activities as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

ECONOMIC RESEARCH SERVICE

Amendment No. 11: Appropriates \$53,131,000 for the Economic Research Service as proposed by the House instead of \$53,526,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides for the continuation of the rice modeling project

under the special grants program of the Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service.

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

Amendment No. 12: Appropriates \$710,000,000 instead of \$707,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and \$705,610,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement includes the following increases:

| Nutrition Intervention | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
| (Delta Initiative) | \$900,000 |
| National Agricultural Li- | |
| brary | 1,462,000 |
| Rural Development | |
| (Alcorn State University) | 167,000 |
| Citrus Root Weevil | 400,000 |
| Alternatives to Methyl | |
| Bromide | 750,000 |
| Horticultural Research, | |
| National Arboretum | 350,000 |
| Animal Improvement Lab- | |
| oratory (BARC) | 300,000 |
| Joranado Rangeland Man- | |
| agement | 500,000 |
| Citrus Tristeza Virus | 500,000 |
| Pine Bluff, AR (Staffing) | 40,000 |
| Arkansas Children's Hos- | 202.000 |
| pital | 300,000 |
| Fish Farming Experi- | 500.000 |
| mental Laboratory, AR | 500,000 |
| Small Fruit Laboratory, | 407 000 |
| OR | 485,000 |
| Agroforestry, AR/MO | 475,000 |
| Livestock and Range Re- | 00.000 |
| search, MT | 80,000 |
| Cereal Crops, WI | 175,000 260,000 |
| Wheat Virology, NE | 200,000 |
| Warmwater Aquaculture, MS | 630,000 |
| Southern Insect Manage- | 030,000 |
| ment Laboratory, MS | 50,000 |
| Geriatric Nutrition Re- | 30,000 |
| search, PA | 200,000 |
| Amendment No. 13: Makes | |
| | |

Amendment No. 13: Makes a technical correction to properly identify the American Sugar Cane League Foundation as proposed by the Senate.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 14: Deletes Senate language providing that not less than \$1,000,000 of the funds made available for the National Center for Agriculture Utilization Research be available for the Grain Marketing Laboratory in Manhattan, Kansas. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

BUILDING AND FACILITIES

[In thousands of dollars]

| [III tilousulus til | donarsj | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| | House bill | Senate bill | Con- ference agree- ment |
| Arkansas: National Research Center, | | | |
| Stuttgart | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Florida: Horticultural Research Laboratory, Ft. Pierce | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| France: European Biological Control Lab- | 2 / 00 | | |
| oratory, Montpellier | 2,600 | | |
| Utilization Research, Peoria | 9,700 | 3,900 | 3,900 |
| Kansas: Grain Marketing Research Lab- | | 1 000 | 1 000 |
| oratory, Manhattan Louisiana: Southern Regional Research | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| Center, New Orleans | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Maryland: Agricultural Research Center, | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Beltsville | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| National Center for Natural Products, | | | |
| Oxford | | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| National Center for Warmwater Aqua- culture, Stoneville | | 1.900 | 1.900 |
| New York: Plum Island Animal Disease | | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| Center | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| South Carolina: U.S. Vegetable Laboratory Texas: | | 4,000 | 3,000 |
| Plant Stress and Water Conservation | | | |
| Laboratory, Lubbock | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Subtropical Research Laboratory, Weslaco | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| | | | |
| Total, buildings and facilities | 30,200 | 30,200 | 30,200 |

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH, EDUCATION, AND EXTENSIVE SERVICE

RESEARCH AND EDUCATION ACTIVITIES

Amendment No. 15: Provides \$168,734,000 for payments under the Hatch Act instead of \$166,165,000 as proposed by the House and \$171,304,000 as proposed by the Senate

Amendment No. 16: Provides \$20,497,000 for cooperative forestry research instead of \$20,185,000 as proposed by the House and \$20,809,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 17: Provides \$27,735,000 for payments to 1890 land-grant colleges and Tuskegee University instead of \$27,313,000 as proposed by the House and \$28,157,000 as pro-

posed by the Senate.
Amendment No. 18: Provides \$49,846,000 for special research grants instead of \$31,930,000 as proposed by the House and \$42,670,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement does not provide any earmark for the global change special grant.

Amendment No. 19: Provides \$9,769,000 for improved pest control as proposed by the Senate instead of \$11,599,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 20: Provides \$96,735,000 for competitive research grants instead of \$98,165,000 as proposed by the House and S99,582,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 21: Provides \$5,051,000 for

animal health and disease programs as proposed by the House instead of \$5,551,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 22: Makes a technical correction to the United States Code citation as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 23: Provides \$650,000 for alternative crops instead of \$1,150,000 as proposed by the House and \$500,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for research on canola as proposed by the both the House and the Senate, and \$150,000 for research on hesperaloe as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 24: Provides \$500,000 for the Critical Agricultural Materials Act as proposed by the Senate. The House bill con-

tained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 25: Provides \$8,100,000 for low-input agriculture instead of \$8,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$8,112,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 26: Provides \$9,200,000 for capacity building grants instead of \$9,207,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill

Contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 27: Provides \$1,450,000 for payments to the 1994 Institutions as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 28: Provides \$10,337,000 for Federal Administration instead of \$6,289,000 as proposed by the House and \$10,686,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 29: Appropriates \$421,929,000 for Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service, Research Education Activities \$389,172,000 as proposed by the House and \$421,622,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE [In thousands of dollars]

| | House bill | Senate bill | Conference agreement |
|---|------------|-------------|----------------------|
| Payments Under Hatch Act Cooperative forestry research | 166,165 | 171,304 | 168,734 |
| (McIntire-Stennis) | 20,185 | 20,809 | 20,497 |
| Payments to 1890 colleges and Tuskegee | 27,313 | 28,157 | 27,735 |
| Aflatóxin (IL) Agricultural diversifica- | 113 | 113 | 133 |
| tion (HI) | | 131 | 131 |

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE—Continued

| | House bill | Senate bill | Conference agreement |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Agricultural management systems (MA) Alfalfa (KS) | 106 | 221 106 | 221 106 |
| Alliance for food protec- tion (NE, GA) | 300 | | 300 |
| Alternative cropping sys- tems (Southeast) | | 235 | 235 |
| Alternative crops (ND) Alternative crops for arid | | 550 | 550 |
| lands (TX) Alternative Marine and Fresh Water Species | 85 | | 85 |
| (MS)Alternative to pesticides | | 308 | 308 |
| and critical issues Aquaculture (CT) | 2,000 181 | 2,000 | 2,000 181 |
| Aquaculture (CT) | 169 330 | 169 330 | 169 330 |
| Aquaculture (MS) | | 592 212 | 592 212 |
| Asian Products Lab (OR) Babcock Institute (WI) Barley feed for rangeland | | 312 | 312 |
| cattle (MT) Biodiesel research (MO) | | 250 152 | 250 152 |
| Biotechnology (OR) Broom snakeweed (NM) | 169 | 217 169 | 217 169 |
| Canola (KS) Center for animal health | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| and productivity (PA) Center for innovative food | 113 | | 113 |
| technology (OH) Center for rural studies (VT) | 181 | 32 | 181 32 |
| Chesapeake Bay aqua- culture | 370 | 370 | 370 |
| Competitiveness of agri- cultural products (WA) | 500 | 677 | 677 |
| Cool season legume re- search (ID, WA) | 103 | 329 | 329 |
| Cranberry/blueberry dis- ease and breeding (NJ) Dairy and meat goat re- | | 220 | 220 |
| search (TX) Delta rural revitalization | 63 | 63 | 63 |
| Dried bean (ND) | 85 200 | 148 85 | 148 85 |
| Drought mitigation (NE) Environmental research (NY) | 200 486 | 200 | 200 486 |
| Expanded wheat pasture (OK) | | 285 | 285 |
| Farm and rural business finance (IL, AR) Floriculture (HI) | | 106 250 | 106 250 |
| Food and Agriculture Pol- icy Institute (IA, MO) | 850 | 850 | 850 |
| Food irradiation (IA) Food marketing policy | | 201 | 201 |
| center (CT) Food processing center (NE) | 332 | 332 42 | 332 |
| Food safety consortium (AR, KS, IA) | 1,743 | 1,743 | 1,743 |
| Food systems research group (WI) | 221 | 221 | 221 |
| Forestry (AR) uit and vegetable market analysis (AZ, MO) neric commodity promotion | 296 | 523 | 523 296 |
| research and evaluation (NY) | 212 | 1 /15 | 212 |
| obal changeobal marketing support service (AR) | 1,625 | 1,615 92 | 1,615 92 |
| ass seed cropping systems for a sustainable agriculture | | | |
| (WA, OR, ID) man nutrition (AR) | 423 425 | 423 | 423 425 |
| man nutrition (IA) | 752 | 473 752 | 473 752 |
| nois-Missouri Alliance for | 622 | 1.057 | 622 |
| Biotechnology proved dairy management practices (PA) | 1,357 296 | 1,357 | 1,357 296 |
| proved fruit practices (MI) stitute for Food Science and | 445 | 445 | 445 |
| Engineering (AR)egrated production systems | | 1,184 | 750 161 |
| (OK) enational arid lands consor- tium | 329 | | 329 |
| va biotechnology consortium nted goatgrass (WA) | 296 | 1,792 296 | 1,792 296 |
| ndscaping for water quality (GA) | 300 | | 300 |
| restock and dairy policy (NY, TX) | 445 | 445 | 445 |
| wbush blueberry research (ME) aple research (VT) | | 220 84 | 220 84 |
| chigan biotechnology consor- tium | 1,000 | | 750 |
| dwest advanced food manu- facturing alliance | 423 | 423 | 423 |
| dwest agricultural products (IA) Ik safety (PA) | | 592 268 | 592 268 |
| in survey (LM) | | 550 | 200 550 |

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE—Continued

| | House bill | Senate bill | Conference agreement |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Molluscan shellfish (OR) Multi-commodity research (OR) | | 300 364 | 300 364 |
| Multi-cropping strategies for aquaculture (HI) National biological impact as- | | 127 | 127 |
| sessment Nematode resistance genetic | 254 | | 254 |
| engineering (NM) Non-food agricultural products | 127 | 127 | 127 |
| (NE)lorth central biotechnology ini- | | 64 | 64 |
| tiativeil resources from desert | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| plants (NM)rganic waste utilization (NW) | 169 150 | 169 | 169 150 |
| each tree short life (SC) est control alternatives (SC) | | 162 106 | 162 106 |
| hytophthora root rot (NM) otato research | 127 638 | 127 1,214 | 127 1,214 |
| reharvest food safety (KS) reservation and processing | | 212 | 212 |
| research (OK)ed River Corridor (MN, ND) | 169 | 226 169 | 226 169 |
| egional barley gene mapping project | 348 | 348 | 348 |
| egionalized implications of farm programs (MO_TX) | 294 | 294 | 294 |
| tice Modeling (AR) | | | 395 |
| IA, (ND), MS, OR) tural policies institute (NE, | 400 | 423 | 423 |
| MO) tussian wheat aphid (WA, OR, | 322 | 644 | 644 |
| CO, CA, ID)eafood and aquaculture har- | | 455 | 455 |
| vesting, processing, and marketing (MS) | | 305 | 305 |
| Small fruit research (OR, MA, | 212 | 212 | 212 |
| ID) Southwest consortium for plant genetics and water re- | 212 | 212 | 212 |
| sources | 338 | 338 | 338 |
| oybean cyst nematode (MO) TEEP II—water quality in | 303 | 303 | 303 |
| Northwestunflower insects (ND) | 500 | 829 127 | 500 127 |
| ustainable agriculture (MI) ustainable agriculture and | | 445 | 445 |
| natural resources (PA) Sustainable agriculture sys- | | 94 | 94 |
| tems (NE) illage, silviculture, waste | | 59 | 59 |
| management (LA)ropical and subtropical | 212 2,809 | 212 2,809 | 212 2,809 |
| Jrban pests (GA)(NY, CA) Vater conservation (KS) | 64 500 | 79 | 64 500 |
| ater conservation (KS) ater quality leed control (ND) | 79 2,500 | 2,757 | 79 2,757 |
| /eed control (ND) /heat genetic research (KS) /ood utilization research (OR, | 177 | 423 176 | 423 176 |
| MS, NC, MN, ME, MI) | | 3,758 | 3,758 |
| ool research (TX, MT, WY) | 212 | 212 | 212 |
| Total, Special Re- search Grants | 31,930 | 42,670 | 49,846 |
| mproved pest control: Integrated pest manage- | | | |
| ment Pesticide clearance (IR- | 3,093 | 2,731 | 2,731 |
| Pesticide cicurance (iii Pesticide impact assess- | 6,711 | 5,711 | 5,711 |
| ment | 1,795 | 1,327 | 1,327 |
| Total, Improved pest control | 11,599 | 9,769 | 9,769 |
| ompetitive research grants: | 27 255 | 27,000 | 27.000 |
| Plant systems Animal systems | 37,355 24,125 | 37,000 23,750 | 37,000 23,750 |
| Nutrition, food quality and health | 7,400 | 7,400 | 7,400 |
| Natural resources and the environment | 17,650 | 20,497 | 17,650 |
| Processes and new prod- ucts | 6,935 | 6,935 | 6,935 |
| Total, Competitive re- search grants | 98,165 | 99,582 | 96,735 |
| nimal Health and Disease | - | · | |
| (Sec. 1433)dvanced materials | 5,051 1,150 | 5,551 500 | 5,051 |
| critical Agricultural Materials | | | 500 |
| Act Aquaculture Centers (Sec. | | 500 | 4,000 |
| 1475) Rangeland Research Grants | | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| (Sec. 1480)lternative Crops | | 475 | 475 650 |
| ow-input agricultureligher Education | 8,000 8,850 | 8,112 8,850 | 8,100 8,850 |
| Capacity building grants Native American Institutions | | 9,207 | 9,200 |
| Endowment Fund Payments to the 1994 Institu- | (4,600) | (4,600) | (4,600) |
| tions | | 1,450 | 1,450 |

Con-

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

House bill Senate bill agreement Federal Administration: Agricultural biotechnology Agriculture development in American Pacific ... 394 564 564 564 Alternative fuels charac-218 218 655 655 ican Studies (TX) Geographic information 87 87 87 583 583 314 314 314 gram systems Pay costs and FERS 873 250 250 Shrimp aquaculture (AZ, HI, MS, MA, SC) Vocational aquaculture 3,000 3,054 3,054 education 436 492 Water quality (IL) Water quality (ND) 700 492 436 Total, Federal Adminis-6.289 10.686 10.337 tration ... Total. Cooperative

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 30: Appropriates \$57,838,000 for Buildings and Facilities of the Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conference agreement has included funding for this program with the understanding that it will be terminated after fiscal year 1997. The conferees expect that projects funded by this appropriation will be based on a matching formula of not to exceed 50 percent Federal and not less than 50 percent non-Federal funding. Matching requirements must be based on cash rather than in-kind contribution for any facility except for projects started prior to fiscal year 1994. Federal funding will be based on firm indications of local cost sharing. The research programs to be carried out at these facilities must be complimentary to the overall programs of the Department of Agri-

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES [In thousands of dollars]

| | House bill | Senate bill | Con- ference agree- ment |
|---|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Alabama: Poultry science facility, Auburn | | 1,338 | 1,338 |
| University Arkansas: Alternative Pest Control Center, | | 1,330 | 1,330 |
| Carnall Hall | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| California: Alternative Pest Control Con- tainment and Quarantine Facility, Uni- | | | |
| versity of California ¹ | | 1,876 | 3,057 |
| Connecticut: Agricultural biotechnology | | | |
| building, University of Connecticut | | 1,347 | 1,347 |
| Delaware: Poultry Biocontainment Labora- tory ¹ | | 1.751 | 1.751 |
| Florida: Aquatic Research Facility, Univer- | | 1,701 | 1,701 |
| sity of Florida ¹ | | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Idaho: Biotechnology Facility, University of Idaho | | 1,181 | |
| Illinois: Biotechnology Center, Northwest- | | 1,101 | |
| ern University | | 1,366 | 1,366 |
| Louisiana: Southeast Research Station, | | 4 000 | 4.000 |
| Franklinton ¹ | | 1,280 | 1,280 |
| and Environmental Science, University | | | |
| of Maryland | | 2,288 | 2,288 |
| Massachusetts: Center for Hunger, Poverty | | 1 / 41 | 1 / /1 |
| and Nutrition Policy, Tufts University Mississippi:. | | 1,641 | 1,641 |
| Center for Water and Wetland Re- | | | |
| sources, University of Mississippi ¹ | | 1,555 | 1,555 |
| National Food Service Management | | 2 000 | 2 000 |
| Institute ¹ | | 3,000 | 3,000 |

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES—Continued [In thousands of dollars]

| | House bill | Senate bill | Con- ference agree- ment |
|---|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Missouri: Center for Plant Biodiversity, St. | | 3.995 | 3,995 |
| New Jersey: Plant Bioscience Facility, Rut- | | 3,773 | 3,773 |
| gers University New Mexico: Center for Arid Land Studies, | | 2,262 | 2,262 |
| New Mexico State University | | 1,464 | 1,464 |
| New York: New York Botanical Garden ¹ North Carolina: Bowman-Gray Center. | | 1,665 | 1,665 |
| Wake ForestOklahoma: Grain Storage Research and | | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Extension Center, Oklahoma State Uni- | | | |
| versity ¹ | | 495 | 495 |
| Oregon: Forest Ecosystem Research Lab, | | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Öregon State University Pennsylvania: Center for Food Marketing, | | 5,000 | 3,000 |
| St. Joseph's University ¹ | | 2,438 | 2,438 |
| Naragansett Bay, University of Rhode | | | |
| Island ¹ | | 3,854 | 3,854 |
| South Dakota: Animal Resource Wing, | | 0.700 | 0.700 |
| South Dakota State University Tennessee: Agricultural, Biological and | | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| Environmental Research Complex, Uni- | | | |
| versity of Tennessee in Knoxville | | 1,928 | 1,928 |
| Texas: Southern crop improvement, Texas A & M | | 1.400 | 1.400 |
| Vermont: Rural Community Interactive | | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| Learning Center, University of Vermont | | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Washington: | | | |
| Animal Disease Biotechnology Facil- ity, Washington State University | | 1,263 | 1,263 |
| Wheat research facility, Washington | | | .,200 |
| State University ¹ | | 3,251 | 3,251 |
| Total, buildings and facilities | | 57,838 | 57,838 |

¹ Completed.

EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

Amendment No. 31: Provides \$268,493,000 for sections 3(b) and 3(c) of the Smith-Lever Act instead of \$264,405,000 as proposed by the House and \$272,582,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 32: Provides \$60,510,000 for the Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP) instead of \$59,588,000 as proposed by the House and \$61,431,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 33: Provides \$2,943,000 for farm safety instead of \$2,898,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,988,000 as proposed by the Senate

Amendment No. 34: Provides \$7,782,000 for 1890 facilities grants instead of \$7,664,000 as proposed by the House and \$7,901,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 35: Provides \$936,000 for rural development centers instead of \$921,000 as proposed by the House and \$950,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 36: Provides \$11,065,000 for water quality instead of \$10,897,000 as proposed by the House and \$11,234,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 37: Provides \$1,203,000 for agricultural telecommunications instead of \$1,184,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,221,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 38: Provides \$9,850,000 for

Amendment No. 38: Provides \$9,850,000 for youth-at-risk programs instead of \$9,700,000 as proposed by the House and \$10,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 39: Deletes Senate language providing \$4,265,000 for the nutrition education initiative. The House bill contained no similar provision.

tained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 40: Provides \$2,438,000 for food safety instead of \$2,400,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,475,000 as proposed by the Senate

Amendment No. 41: Provides \$3,291,000 for the Renewable Resources Extension Act instead of \$3,241,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,341,000 as proposed by the Senate.

and \$3,341,000 as proposed by the Senate.
Amendment No. 42: Provides \$1,724,000 for Indian reservation agents instead of \$1,697,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,750,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 43: Provides \$2,709,000 for rural health and safety education instead of

\$2,750,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

House bill contained no similar provision. Amendment No. 44: Provides \$25,090,000 for the 1890 colleges and Tuskegee University instead of \$24,708,000 as proposed by the House and \$25,472,000 as proposed by the Senate. Amendment No. 45: Deletes Senate lan-

Amendment No. 45: Deletes Senate language providing \$2,550,000 for payments to the 1994 Institutions. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 46: Makes a technical cor-

Amendment No. 46: Makes a technical correction to the United States Code citation as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 47: Provides \$12,209,000 for Federal administration of Extension Activities instead of \$6,181,000 as proposed by the House and \$10,998,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

EXTENSION ACTIVITIES [In thousands of dollars]

| | Fiscal year 1995 enacted | House bill | Senate bill | Con- ference agree- ment |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Smith Lever: 3(d) Smith Lever 3(b) & 3(c) Pest management | | | | |
| Smith Lever 3(b) & 3(c) | 272,582 | 264,405 | 272,582 | 268,493 10,783 |
| Pest management | 10,947 | 10,947 | 10,947 | 10,783 |
| | 11,234 2,988 | 10,897 2,898 | 11,234 2,988 | 11,065 2,943 |
| Farm safety Food and nutrition edu- | 2,700 | 2,070 | 2,700 | 2,743 |
| cation (EFNEP) | 61,431 | 59,588 | 61,431 | 60,510 |
| Pesticide impact assess- | 3,363 | 3,363 | 3,363 | 3,313 |
| ment Rural development cen- | 3,303 | 3,303 | 3,303 | 3,313 |
| ters | 950 | 921 | 950 | 936 |
| Sustainable agriculture | 3,463 | 3,463 2,400 | 3,463 2,475 | 3,411 2,438 |
| Food safety | 2,475 10,000 | 2,400 9,700 | 10,000 | 2,438 |
| Youth at risk Indian reservation agent | 1,750 | 1,697 | 1,750 | 9,850 1,724 |
| Nutrition education ini- | 1,730 | 1,097 | 1,730 | 1,724 |
| tiative | 4,265 | | 4,265 | |
| 1890's Colleges and Tuskegee | 25,472 | 24,708 | 25,472 | 25,090 |
| 1890's facilities grants | 7,901 | 7,664 | 7,901 | 7,782 |
| Renewable Resources Exten- | ., | ., | ., | ., |
| sion Act | 3,341 | 3,241 | 3,341 | 3,291 |
| Agricultural telecommuni- | 4 004 | 4 404 | 4 004 | 4 000 |
| Rural health and safety edu- | 1,221 | 1,184 | 1,221 | 1,203 |
| cation | 2,750 | | 2,750 | 2,709 |
| Payments to the 1994 Institu- tions | | | 2,550 | |
| • | | | | |
| Subtotal | 426,133 | 407,076 | 428,683 | 415,541 |
| Federal Administration and | | | | |
| special grants: | | | | |
| General administration | 5,241 | 4,924 | 5,102 | 5,162 |
| Pilot tech. transfer (OK, | 221 | | 221 | 22/ |
| MS) | 331 | 1/0 | 331 | 326 |
| Pilot tech. transfer (WI) . | 165 250 | 160 | 250 | 163 246 |
| Rural rehabilitation (GA) Income enhancement | 230 | | 230 | 240 |
| demonstration (OH) | 250 | 243 | | 246 |
| Rural development (NM) | 230 | 223 | 230 | 227 |
| Rural development (NM) Rural development (NE) . | 392 | | 200 | 386 |
| Rural development (OK) | 300 | | 300 | 296 |
| Chinch bug/Russian | | | | |
| wheat aphid project | | | | |
| (NE) | 67 | | | |
| Beef producers' improve- | | | | |
| ment (AR) | 200 | | 200 | 197 |
| Integrated cow/calf re- | | | | |
| sources management | 250 | | 250 | 2.45 |
| (IA) | 350 100 | | 350 100 | 345 99 |
| Extension specialist (AR) Rural center for the | 100 | | 100 | 99 |
| study and promotion | | | | |
| of HIV/STD prevention | | | | |
| (IN) | 250 | 243 | | 246 |
| Cranberry development | 250 | 243 | | 240 |
| (ME) | 50 | | | |
| Delta teachers academy | 3,935 | | 3,935 | 3,876 |
| Wood biomass as an al- | | | | |
| ternative farm product | | | | |
| (NY) Range improvement (NM) | 200 | 194 | | 197 |
| Range improvement (NM) | 200 | 194 | | 197 |
| Agricultural Plastics (VT) | 100 | | | |
| Total, Federal Admin- | | | | |
| ictration | 12 611 | 6 101 | 10 000 | 12 200 |
| istration | 12,611 | 6,181 | 10,998 | 12,209 |
| Total Extension Ac | | | | |
| Total, Extension Ac- | 438,744 | 413,257 | 439,681 | 427,750 |
| tivities | | | | |

Amendment No. 48: Appropriates \$427,750,000 for Extension Activities instead of \$413,257,000 as proposed by the House and \$439,681,000 as proposed by the Senate.

\$439,681,000 as proposed by the Senate.
The conference agreement also provides \$10,783,000 for pest management instead of \$10,947,000 as proposed by both the House and

the Senate; \$3,313,000 for pesticide impact assessment instead of \$3,363,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate; and \$3,411,000 for sustainable agriculture instead of \$3,463,000 as proposed by both the House and the Senate.

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 49: Appropriates \$331,667,000 for Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Salaries and Expenses in stead of \$333,410,000 as proposed by the House and \$329,125,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The following table reflects the conference agreement:

| [In thousands | of dollars | 1 |
|---------------|------------|---|
|---------------|------------|---|

| | Fiscal year 1995 enacted | House bill | Senate bill | Con- ference agree- ment |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Pest and Disease Exclusion | | | | |
| Agricultural quarantine in- | | | | |
| spection | 25,140 | 24,914 | 24,914 | 24,91 |
| User fees | 96,660 | 100,254 | 100,254 | 100,25 |
| Subtotal, Agricultural | | | | |
| quarantine inspec- tion | 121,800 | 125,168 | 125,168 | 125,16 |
| Foot-and-month disease | 3,995 | 3,991 | 3,991 | 3,99 |
| Import-export inspection International programs | 6,535 6,106 | 6,528 6,100 | 6,528 6,100 | 6,52 6,10 |
| Mediterranean fruit fly exclu- | | | | |
| sion Mexican fruit fly exclusion | 10,089 2,156 | 10,079 2,153 | 10,079 2,153 | 10,07 2,15 |
| Screwworm | 34,029 | 33,969 | 33,969 | 33,96 |
| Total, Pest and dis- | | | | |
| ease exclusion | 184,710 | 187,988 | 187,988 | 187,98 |
| : | | | | |
| Plant and Animal Health Monitoring | | | | |
| Animal health monitoring and | F0 | F0 | FO | |
| surveillance Animal and plant health regu- | 59,381 | 59,276 | 59,276 | 59,27 |
| latory enforcement | 5,865 | 5,855 | 5,855 | 5,85 |
| Fruit fly detectionPest detection | 3,923 4,206 | 3,919 4,202 | 3,923 4,206 | 3,91 4,20 |
| - | 4,200 | 4,202 | 4,200 | 4,20 |
| Total, Plant and ani- | | | | |
| mal health mon- itoring | 73,375 | 73,252 | 73,260 | 73,25 |
| : | | | | |
| Pest and Disease Management Programs | | | | |
| Animal Damage control—op- | 07.500 | 0/ 5// | 0///0 | 0/// |
| erations Aquaculture | 26,592 493 | 26,566 413 | 26,642 493 | 26,64 47 |
| BiocontrolBoll weevil | 7,504 | 7,497 | 6,290 | 6,29 |
| Boll weevil Brucellosis eradication | 18,084 27,781 | 18,066 24,663 | 18,084 21,580 | 18,08 23,36 |
| Cattle ticks | 4,578 | 3,837 | 4,537 | 4,53 |
| Golden nematode | 615 | 435 | 435 | 43 |
| Gypsy moth Imported fire ant | 5,177 1,500 | 4,367 1,000 | 4,367 1,000 | 4,36 1,00 |
| Miscellaneous plant diseases . | 1,988 | 1,516 | 1,516 | 1,51 |
| Noxious weeds | 404 1,069 | 338 1,068 | 338 1,069 | 33 1,06 |
| Pre-harvest program | 2,800 | | | |
| PseudorablesSalmonella enteritidis | 4,543 | 4,543 | 4,543 | 4,54 |
| Scrapie | 3,384 2,969 | 2,967 | 2,172 | 2,96 |
| Sweet potato whitefly | 2,400 | 2,398 | 2,400 | 2,39 |
| Tropical bont tick | 537 5,499 | 537 4,609 | 452 4,609 | 45 4,60 |
| Witchweed | 1,975 | 1,663 | 1,663 | 1,66 |
| Total, Pest and dis- ease management | 110.000 | 10/ 402 | 102 100 | 104.74 |
| programs | 119,892 | 106,483 | 102,190 | 104,74 |
| Animal Care | | | | |
| Animal welfare | 9,262 | 9,185 | 9,185 | 9,18 |
| Horse protection | 362 | 362 | 362 | 36 |
| Total, Animal care | 9,624 | 9,547 | 9,547 | 9,54 |
| Scientific and Technical Services | | | | |
| ADC methods development | 9,681 | 9,665 | 9,665 | 9,66 |
| Biotechnology/environmental | 7,690 | 7,677 | 7,677 | 7,67 |
| nrotection | 1,070 | | | |
| protection Integrated systems acquisition | | 4,055 | 4,055 | 4,05 |
| protection Integrated systems acquisition project | 3,500 | 1,000 | | |
| protection Integrated systems acquisition project Plant methods development | | | | 5.05 |
| protection integrated systems acquisition project | 5,059 10,371 | 5,053 10,360 | 5,053 10,360 | 10,36 |
| protection Integrated systems acquisition project Plant methods development | 5,059 | 5,053 | 5,053 | 5,05 10,36 14,78 |

| | Fiscal year 1995 enacted | House bill | Senate bill | Con- ference agree- ment |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Contingency fund | 4,938 | 4,799 | 4,799 | 4,799 |
| Total, Salaries and expenses | 443,651 | 433,664 | 429,379 | 431,921 |

The conferees are aware of a recent boll weevil outbreak in New Mexico. This outbreak has potentially devastating consequences. The conferees expect the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service to monitor the situation and keep the Committees on Appropriations advised.

The conferees concur with the House report language regarding the regulation of importation of Mexican avocados.

The conference agreement includes language allowing the Secretary of Agriculture to fund all costs for agricultural equine quarantine inspection services in connection with the 1996 Summer Olympic Games.

BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 50: Deletes Senate language adding the word "modernization" to the list of authorized uses of Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Buildings and Facilities funds. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 51: Appropriates \$8,757,000 for Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Buildings and Facilities instead of \$12,541,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,973,000 as proposed by the Senate.

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE MARKETING SERVICES

Amendment No. 52: Appropriates \$46,517,000 for Marketing Services of the Agricultural Marketing Service as proposed by the Senate instead of \$46,662,000 as proposed by the House. The conferees expect the agency to continue with the implementation of the organic certification program.

PAYMENTS TO STATES AND POSSESSIONS

Amendment No. 53: Makes a technical correction changing the year of the Agricultural Marketing Act as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 54: Appropriates \$1,200,000 for Payments to States and Possessions as proposed by the Senate instead of \$1,000,000 as proposed by the House.

GRAIN INSPECTION, PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ADMINISTRATION

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 55: Appropriates \$23,058,000 for Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$23,289,000 as proposed by the Senate.

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD SAFETY

Amendment No. 56: Appropriates \$440,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Food Safety as proposed by the Senate instead of \$450,000 as proposed by the House.

FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE

Amendment No. 57: Appropriates \$544,906,000 for the Food Safety and Inspection Service instead of \$540,365,000 as proposed by the House and \$563,004,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement does not include funding to continue the *Salmonella enteritidis* program.

CONSOLIDATED FARM SERVICE AGENCY

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 58: Makes a technical correction and provides for the administration and implementation of programs that are administered by the Consolidated Farm Service Agency as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 59: Appropriates \$795,000,000 for Salaries and Expenses of the Consolidated Farm Service Agency instead of \$788,388,000 as proposed by the House and \$805,888,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 60: Provides \$1,000,000 for employment under the Organic Act of 1944 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$500,000 as proposed by the House.

STATE MEDIATION GRANTS

Amendment No. 61: Appropriates \$2,000,000 for State Mediation Grants as proposed by the House instead of \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

OUTREACH FOR SOCIALLY DISADVANTAGED FARMERS

Amendment No. 62: Appropriates \$1,000,000 for Outreach for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision. The conferees expect the Secretary to submit to the Committees on Appropriations a detailed report on grantees and results of the program.

$\begin{array}{c} \text{AGRICULTURAL CREDIT INSURANCE FUND} \\ \text{PROGRAM ACCOUNT} \end{array}$

Amendment No. 63: Provides a total of \$610,000,000 for farm ownership loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$585,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 64: Provides a total of \$2,450,000,000 for farm operating loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,300,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 65: Deletes funding for credit sales of acquired property instead of \$22,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$21,696,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 66: Appropriates a total of \$34,053,000 for the subsidy cost of farm ownership loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$28,206,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 67: Appropriates a total of \$111,505,000 for the subsidy cost of farm operating loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$91,000,000 as proposed by the House

stead of \$91,000,000 as proposed by the House. Amendment No. 68: Deletes funding for the subsidy cost of credit sales of acquired property instead of \$4,113,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,966,000 as proposed by the Sen-

Amendment No. 69: Appropriates \$221,541,000 for administrative expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$227,258,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 70: Provides for a transfer of \$208,446,000 in administrative expenses to Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$214,163,000 as proposed by the Senate.

TITLE II—CONSERVATION PROGRAMS OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENT

Amendment No. 71: Restores House language and deletes language inserted by the Senate. The conference agreement provides \$677,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment as proposed by the House.

The conferees have agreed to delete the Senate amendment transferring jurisdiction of the United States Forest Service from the Under Secretary for Natural Resources and Environment to the Office of the Secretary. The conferees note the concerns resulting in the Senate's adoption of this amendment and agree that the Under Secretary should conduct policy and procedural affairs in a manner that promotes communication with the legislative branch and those members of the community affected by his decisions. The Under Secretary should carry out the functions of this office in a manner that properly reflects adherence to statutory direction, legislative history, and judicial interpretation. It is important that proper notice of

changes in administration policy and other matters is afforded all interested parties as a means to best serve the comity of public policy debate and avoid unnecessary and potentially harmful misunderstandings and misdirections. The Senate decision to recede to the House is based on personal assurances from the Secretary that he will take steps to address the issues raised by the Senate. The Secretary should review the concerns and recommendations outlined by the Senate during its consideration of this matter.

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

CONSERVATION OPERATIONS

Amendment No. 72: Appropriates \$629,986,000 for Natural Resources Conservation Service, Conservation Operations as proposed by the House instead of \$637,860,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also provides for the funds to remain available until expended as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$350,000 for Great Lakes Basin Program for Soil and Erosion Sediment Control as proposed by the House instead of \$250,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also provides for the continuation, at the fiscal year 1995 level, of technical assistance for a rural recycling and water resource protection initiative in the Mississippi Delta region of Louisiana, Arkansas, and Mississippi; and existing groundwater projects in eastern Arkansas, including Bayou Meto an Beouf/ Tensas.

Amendment No. 73: Adds the United States Code citation allowing for the temporary employment of qualified local engineers as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

RIVER BASIN SURVEYS AND INVESTIGATIONS

Amendment No. 74: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$8,369,000 for River Basin Surveys and Investigations. The conferees address this issue in Amendment No. 81.

WATERSHED PLANNING

Amendment No. 75: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$5,630,000 for Watershed Planning. The conferees address this issue in Amendment No. 81.

WATERSHED AND FLOOD PREVENTION OPERATIONS

Amendment No. 76: Deletes House language providing that only-high-priority authorized Public Law 534 projects be funded. The conferees address this issue in Amendment No. 77.

Amendment No. 77: Provides \$15,000,000 for authorized Public Law 534 projects as proposed by the Senate. The House bill did not provide a specific dollar amount for these projects.

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Amendment No. 78: Adds language proposed by the Senate and appropriates \$29,000,000 for Resource Conservation and Development. The House bill provided funding for this program as part of Amendment No.

FORESTRY INCENTIVES PROGRAM

Amendment No. 79: Adds language proposed by the Senate and appropriates \$6,325,000 for the Forestry Incentives Program. The House bill provided funding for this program as part of Amendment No. 82.

The conference agreement provides for the continuation of assistance in the replanting of harvested pine trees in Texas at the fiscal year 1995 funding level.

COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROGRAM

Amendment No. 80: Adds language proposed by the Senate and appropriates \$2,681,000 for the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Program. The House bill provided funding for this program as part of Amendment No. 82.

WATESHED SURVEYS AND PLANNING

Amendment No. 81: Restores House language providing \$14,000,000 for Watershed Surveys and Planning.

CONSERVATION PROGRAMS

Amendment No. 82: Deletes language proposed by the House consolidating the funding for Resource Conservation and Development the Forestry Incentives Program, and the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Program into a single appropriation. The conference agreement continues to fund these programs as separate appropriations as proposed by the Senate.

WETLANDS RESERVE PROGRAM

Amendment No. 83: Appropriates \$77,000,000 for the Wetlands Reserve Program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$210,000,000 as proposed by the House.

The conferees are aware that under the Wetlands Reserve Program the Secretary of Agriculture as the authority to purchase easements through partnerships, private landowners, and entities. The conferees encourage the Secretary to explore all options available as a way to achieve a more cost-effective and environmentally beneficial pro-

CONSOLIDATED FARM SERVICE AGENCY AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Amendment No. 84: Appropriates \$75,000,000 for the Agricultural Conservation Program proposed by the House instead of \$50,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 85: Provides \$11,000,000 for the Water Quality Incentives Programs as proposed by the House instead of \$15,000,000

as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes the fiscal year 1995 level to continue a demonstration project to reduce atrazine levels in the lakes of Macoupin County, Illinois. The conference agreement also includes the fiscal year 1995 level to continue to provide costshared financial assistance to farmers and local communities in support of rural recycling and water resource protection initiative in the Mississippi Delta region of Louisiana, Arkansas, and Mississippi. The conferees urge the Department to provide assistance to Lake Springfield in an effort to reduce atrazine levels

TITLE III-RURAL ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

RURAL COMMUNITY ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM

Amendment No. 86: Deletes Senate language establishing a Rural Community Advancement Program. The House bill contained no similar provision.

RURAL HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SERVICE SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 87: Appropriates \$46,583,000 for Rural Housing and Community Development Service, Salaries and Expenses instead of \$42,820,000 as proposed by the House and \$50,346,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree that the Secretary may use his authority to allocate unobligated fiscal year 1995 section 504 funds for Hurricane Marilyn relief efforts in the Virgin Islands.

RURAL HOUSING INSURANCE FUND PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 88: Provides a total loan level of \$2,700,000,000 for section 502 loans as proposed by the Senate instead \$2,250,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 89: Deletes the loan level for credit sales of acquired property instead of providing a program level of \$35,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$42,484,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 90: Restores House language providing that the Pine View West Subdivision in Gibsonville, North Carolina, be eligible for section 502 loans.

Amendment No. 91: Appropriates a total of \$148,723,000 for the subsidy cost of section 502 loans instead of \$118,335,000 as proposed by the House and \$212,790,000 as proposed by the Senate

Amendment No. 92: Restores and amends House language providing that funds for the section 515 rental housing program be available only for rehabilitation of existing units and related costs and funds for new construction be available upon reauthorization instead of making all funds for the program contingent on reauthorization as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 93: Deletes funds for the subsidy cost of credit sales of acquired property instead of providing \$6,100,000 as proposed by the House and \$7,405,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 94: Restores House language establishing a \$1,000,000 demonstration program of loan guarantees for multifamily housing in rural areas to be funded from the section 515 program, if authorized.

Amendment No. 95: Appropriates \$385,889,000 for Rural Housing Insurance Fund Program Account administrative expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$389,818,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 96: Provides for the transfer of \$372,897,000 from administrative expenses to Rural Housing and Community Development Service, Salaries and Expenses instead of \$372,897,506 as proposed by the House and \$376,860,000 as proposed by the Senate.

RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

97: Amendment No. Appropriates \$540,900,000 for the Rental Assistance Program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$535,900,000 as proposed by the House.

COMMUNITY FACILITY LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 98: Restores House language appropriating a subsidy cost of \$34,880,000 to support a loan level of \$200,000,000 in direct loans and a subsidy cost of \$3,555,000 to support a loan level of \$75,000,000 in guaranteed loans. The conference agreement includes a subsidy cost of \$1,208,000 to support a loan level of \$6,930,000 for empowerment zones and enterprise communities. The conference agreement also provides an appropriation of \$8,836,000 for administrative expenses, of which \$8,731,000 shall be transferred to Salaries and Expenses. The Senate bill provided for these programs in the Rural Community Advancement Program.

SUPERVISORY AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE GRANTS

Amendment No. 99: Deletes Senate language providing \$1,000,000 for Supervisory and Technical Assistance Grants. The House bill contained no similar provision.

RURAL COMMUNITY FIRE PROTECTION GRANTS

Amendment No. 100: Appropriates \$2,000,000 for Rural Community Fire Protection Grants instead of \$1,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

RURAL BUSINESS AND COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT SERVICE

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 101: Appropriates \$9,013,000 for Rural Business and Cooperative Development Service, Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$9,520,000 as proposed by the House.

RURAL BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 102: Restores House language appropriating a subsidy cost of \$6,437,000 to support a loan level of \$500,000,000. The conference agreement includes a subsidy cost of \$148,000 to support a loan level of \$10,842,000 for empowerment zones and enterprise communities. The conference agreement also appropriates \$14,868,000 for administrative expenses, of which \$14,747,000 shall be transferred to Salaries and Expenses. The Senate bill provided for these programs in the Rural Community Advancement Program.

Amendment No. 103: Deletes House language and inserts Senate language appropriating a subsidy cost of \$22,395,000 to support a loan level of \$37,544,000. The conference agreement provides a subsidy cost of \$4,322,000 for empowerment zones and enterprise communities as proposed by the House instead of \$6,484,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement also appropriates \$1,476,000 in administrative expenses as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no funds for administrative expenses.

RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 104: Appropriates \$654,000 for administrative expenses of the Rural Economic Development Loans Program Account instead of \$584,000 as proposed by the House and \$724,000 as proposed by the Senate.

ALTERNATIVE AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND COMMERCIALIZATION REVOLVING FUND

Amendment No. 105: Appropriates \$6,500,000 for the Alternative Agricultural Research and Commercialization Revolving Fund instead of \$5,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$10,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees expect the Secretary to provide a report to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations on steps taken to resolve the problems in this program identified by the Inspector General in his Semiannual Report to Congress (Fiscal Year 1995—First Half). Specifically, the report should address issues relating to conflict-of-interest in board decisions, failure to file financial disclosure reports, and exceeding the authorized terms of Board Members.

RURAL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE GRANTS

Amendment No. 106: Restores House language appropriating \$45,000,000 for Rural Business Enterprise Grants. The Senate bill provided for this program in the Rural Community Advancement Program.

The House and Senate reports include lists of projects to be considered by the Department under the Rural Business Enterprise Grants program. The conferees believe that there will be other commendable applications to the Department in addition to those mentioned in the reports. The conferees expect the Department to approve only those applications judged meritorious when subjected to the established review process.

The conferees urge the Department to consider the following projects which were not mentioned in the House and Senate reports. The conferees expect the Department to apply the same criteria of review to these projects as are applied to other applications.

Health care facility, Clay City, Indiana. Nebraska Department of Economic Development and Partners, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Rural Opportunities, Inc., Rochester, New York.

Estranosa Water Cooperative, New Mexico. Southern Kentucky Rural Development Center, Somerset, Kentucky.

RURAL TECHNOLOGY AND COOPERATIVE DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

Amendment No. 107: Appropriates \$2,300,000 for Rural Technology and Cooperative Development Grants instead of \$1,500,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees agree that up to \$1,300,000 of these funds may be used for the Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas program as proposed by the Senate

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION AND TELEPHONE LOANS PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 108: Establishes a loan level of \$525,000,000 for municipal rate rural electric loans instead of \$500,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$550,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendent No. 109: Appropriates a subsidy cost of \$56,858,000 for municipal rate loans instead of \$54,150,000 as proposed by the House and \$59,565,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 110: Deletes House language permitting borrower interest rates for electric loans to exceed 7 percent per year as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 111: Appropriates \$29,982,000 for administrative expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$32,183,000 as proposed by the Senate.

RURAL TELEPHONE BANK PROGRAM ACCOUNT

Amendment No. 112: Appropriates a subsidy cost of \$5,023,000 for Rural Telephone Bank loans as proposed by the Senate instead of \$770,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 113: Appropriates \$3,541,000 for administrative expenses as proposed by the House instead of \$6,167,000 as proposed by the Senate.

RURAL UTILITIES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Amendment No. 114: Restores House language providing a single account for rural water and waste disposal grants and loans and for solid waste management grants, and appropriates \$487,868,000 for the Rural Utilities Assistance Program instead of \$435,000,000 as proposed by the House. The agreement also provides \$12,740,000 for administrative expenses. The Senate bill provided for these programs in the Rural Community Advancement Program.

The conference agreement also includes \$18,700,000 for Colonias, \$18,688,000 for empowerment zones and enterprise communities, and \$4,500,000 for a circuit rider program.

The conferees expect the Secretary to continue multi-state regional rural community assistance programs to provide solid waste management technical assistance at a rate not less than that of fiscal year 1995. The conferees also expect the Secretary to continue grants for technical assistance authorized under section 306(16)(c) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, as amended, at a rate not less than that of fiscal year 1995.

The conferees agree to change the name of the program from the Rural Development Performance Partnerships Program to the Rural Utilities Assistance Program.

SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 115: Appropriates \$18,449,000 for Rural Utilities Service, Salaries and Expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$19,211,000 as proposed by the

TITLE IV—DOMESTIC FOOD PROGRAMS

OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY FOR FOOD, NUTRITION AND CONSUMER SERVICES

Amendment No. 116: Appropriates \$440,000 for the Office of the Under Secretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services as

proposed by the House instead of \$540,000 as proposed by the Senate.

FOOD AND CONSUMER SERVICE CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

Amendment No. 117: Provides for the exemption of sections 17 and 19 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 and section 21 of the National School Lunch Act instead of section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 as proposed by the House and sections 17, 19, and 21 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 118: Provides a total of \$7,946,024,000 for Child Nutrition Programs instead of \$7,952,424,000 as proposed by the House and \$7,952,610,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 119: Provides that \$2,348,166,000 for Child Nutrition Programs is hereby appropriated instead of \$2,354,566,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,354,752,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides for the Child Nutrition Programs at the following annual rates:

Total obligational authority [Dollars in thousands]

Conference agreement Child Nutrition Programs: School lunch program ... \$4,433,690 School breakfast program 1,160,454 State administrative ex-101.607 penses Summer food service pro-280.303 gram Child and adult care food program 1,657,493 Special milk program Commodity procurement 275,199 Nutrition studies and 4 162 surveys Nutrition education and training (1) Coordinated review system Food Service Manage-3,964 ment Institute 10.500 School meals initiative .. Total 7.946.024

(¹) Funds provided by Public Law 103–448, Healthy Meals for Healthy Americans Act of 1994, for 1996 are \$10,000,000 for nutrition education and training and \$2,000,000 for the Food Service Management Institute.

Amendment No. 120: Deletes language proposed by the House providing funds for the Nutrition Education and Training Program and the Food Service Management Institution through this Act. The conference agreement provides for the funding of these two programs through a permanent appropriation established in the Healthy Meals for Healthy Americans Act of 1994.

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL NUTRITION PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

Amendment No. 121: Provides that once the amount of fiscal year 1995 carryover funds has been determined by the Secretary of Agriculture, he may transfer any amount in excess of \$100,000,000 to the Rural Utilities Assistance Program. The Senate bill contained similar language, but did not allow for this transfer until on or after July 1, 1996. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 122: Provides that none of the funds provided in this account shall be available to purchase infant formula except in accordance with cost-containment and competitive bidding requirements specified in section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

COMMODITY SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM

Amendment No. 123: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$86,000,000 for

the Commodity Supplemental Food Program. The House bill contained no similar provision. The conference agreement addresses this program in Amendment No. 126.

FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

Amendment No. 124: Appropriates \$27,597,828,000 for the Food Stamp Program instead of \$27,097,828,000 as proposed by the House and \$28,097,828,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees concur with House report language regarding the acceleration of pilot projects on productivity enhancers.

Amendment No. 125: Provides \$500,000,000 for a food stamp contingency reserve instead of \$1,000,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

COMMODITY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Amendment No. 126: Restores and modifies House language providing \$166,000,000 to the Department of Agriculture to carry out three commodity assistance programs—Commodity Supplemental Food Program, The Emergency Food Assistance Program (TEFAP), and Soup Kitchens. The conference agreement also allows for TEFAP commodity purchases.

FOOD DONATIONS PROGRAMS FOR SELECTED GROUPS

Amendment No. 127: Appropriates \$215,000,000 for the Food Donations Programs for Selected Groups as proposed by the House instead of \$217,250,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 128: Adds language proposed by the Senate establishing a maximum rate of reimbursement to states, subject to reduction if obligations exceed available funds. The conference agreement also makes this provision permanent law. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 129: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$40,000,000 for Soup Kitchens. The House bill and the conference agreement address this program in Amendment No. 126.

THE EMERGENCY FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Amendment No. 130: Deletes language propose by the Senate providing \$40,000,000 for The Emergency Food Assistance Program. The House bill and the conference agreement address this program in Amendment No. 126.

FOOD PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION

Amendment No. 131: Appropriates \$107,769,000 for Food Program Administration instead of \$108,323,000 as proposed by the House and \$107,215,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 132: Deletes language proposed by the Senate earmarking \$750,000 for an automated data processing infrastructure. The House bill contained no similar provision.

TITLE V—FOREIGN ASSISTANCE AND RELATED PROGRAMS

FOREIGN AGRICULTURAL SERVICE

Amendment No. 133: Appropriates \$124,775,000 for the Foreign Agricultural Service as proposed by the Senate instead of \$123,520,000 as proposed by the House. The conference agreement includes the budget request for the Cochran Fellowship Program.

Amendment No. 134: Provides a limitation on activities of the Market Promotion Program which will prohibit the granting of Federal funds to for-profit corporations that are not described under the Small Business Act. The conferees agree, however, that funds would continue to be available to farmer-owned cooperatives and trade associations. The conferees also recognize the important role of trade associations in directing branded promotional activities in emerging foreign markets. The conferees

also agree that the Department of Agriculture should not discriminate between cooperatives and small businesses in allocating Market Promotion Program funds.

PUBLIC LAW 480 PROGRAM AND GRANT ACCOUNTS

Amendment No. 135: Provides that \$60,000,000 in savings resulting from Public Law 103-465 be used to finance title II of Public Law 480 funding. The Senate bill proposes that \$50,000,000 in credited savings be used for title III. The House bill contained no similar provision.

TITLE VI—RELATED AGENCIES AND FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES

Amendment No. 136: Appropriates \$12,150,000 for Food and Drug Administration, Buildings and Facilities instead of \$15,350,000 as proposed by the House and \$8,350,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees agree that the Senate language regarding the Food and Drug Administration's field office restructuring is not intended to impede consolidation efforts.

INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Amendment No. 137: Appropriates \$53,601,000 for the Commodity Futures Trading Commission instead of \$49,144,000 as proposed by the House and \$54,058,000 as proposed by the Senate.

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Administrative Provision

Amendment No. 138: Adds language proposed by the Senate allowing employees of the Farm Credit Administration to reenter the Federal Employees Health Benefits Plan. The House bill contains no similar provision.

TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 139: Deletes the word "and" which was added by the Senate. Amendment No. 140: Adds language pro-

Amendment No. 140: Adds language proposed by the Senate which adds that Consolidated Farm Service Agency, Salaries and Expenses funds made available to county committees remain available until expended. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 141: Makes a technical correction updating the fiscal year citation as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 142: Adds language proposed by the Senate that exempts Small Business Innovation Development grants from a 14 percent overhead cap. The House bill contained no similar provision

bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 143: Makes a technical correction changing the word "Agriculture" to "Agricultural" as proposed by the Senate.

to "Agricultural" as proposed by the Senate. Amendment No. 144: Restores House language prohibiting an increase in full-time equivalent positions in certain offices of the Food and Drug Administration above the fiscal year 1995 level.

Amendment No. 145: Restores House language prohibiting the use of Market Promotion Program funds for assistance to the U.S. Mink Export Development Council or any mink industry trade association. The Senate bill addresses this issue in Amendment No. 157.

Amendment No. 146: Limits the acreage enrollment in the Wetlands Reserve Program to not more than 100,000 acres in fiscal year 1996 as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 147: Deletes language proposed by the Senate limiting the Export Enhancement Program to \$795,556,000. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 148: Deletes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting disaster pay-

ments to livestock producers for feed if crop insurance is available. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 149: Prohibits the enrollment of additional acres into the Conservation Reserve Program in fiscal year 1996 and requires 1,579,000 new acres to be enrolled in the year beginning on January 1, 1997, as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 150: Provides that none of the funds in this Act may be used to develop guidelines, implement, or enforce the poultry labeling regulations promulgated on August 25, 1995, until legislation is enacted directing the Secretary of Agriculture to promulgate such a regulation, or the House and Senate authorizing committees receive and approve a revised proposal as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 151: Deletes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting funds from being used for the salaries and expenses of the Board of Tea Experts. The House bill contained no similar provision. The conference agreement addresses this issue in Amendment No. 152.

Amendment No. 152: Provides that none of the funds appropriated or made available to the Food and Drug Administration in this Act shall be used to operate the Board of Tea Experts as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement does not repeal the Tea Importation Act as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision

Amendment No. 153: Deletes the sense of the Senate language providing that the marketing assessment statute for the Tobacco program be amended to cover the administrative costs of the tobacco program. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 154: Provides that none of the funds shall be used for any action that results in a loss or restriction and use of water from existing water supply facilities located on National Forest lands as proposed by the Senate. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 155: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing for energy savings at Federal facilities. The House bill contained no similar provisions.

Amendment No. 156: Deletes the sense of the Senate language providing that the marketing assessment statute for the peanut program be amended to cover the administrative costs of the peanut program. The House bill contained no similar provision.

Amendment No. 157: Deletes language proposed by the Senate prohibiting the funds made available in the Market Promotion Program from being used to carry out mink exports. The House bill and the conferees address this issue in Amendment No. 145.

Amendment No. 158: Deletes the sense of the Senate language on United States-Canadian cooperation concerning an outlet to relieve flooding at Devils Lake in North Dakota. The House bill contained no similar provision. The conferees expect the Natural Resources Conservation Service to participate in a technical committee to address the problem.

Amendment No. 159: Deletes language proposed by the Senate repealing the Swine Health Advisory Committee and the Global Climate Change Technical Advisory Committee. The House bill contained no similar provisions.

Amendment No. 160: Amends language proposed by the Senate directing the Secretary of Agriculture to not enforce final regulations promulgated on September 8, 1995, to implement the Forest Resources Conservation and Shortage Relief Act of 1990. The

conferees expect the Secretary to take notice and public comment on these final regulations and make the appropriate revisions based upon that public comment. Such revisions should be directed at provisions in the regulations, including but not limited to, excessive log painting requirements, substitution and sourcing regulations, the transportation of private timber into or through sourcing areas; and provisions that discourage domestic use of private timber; among other provisions of the regulation.

CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1996 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1995 amount, the 1996 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1996 follow:

New budget (obligations) authority, fiscal year 1995. \$68,991,361,000 Budget estimates for new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1996 66 421 993 000 House bill, fiscal year 1996. Senate bill, fiscal year 1996 62.579.232.000 63 825 150 000 Conference agreement, fiscal year 1996 63 194 564 000 Conference agreement compared with: hudget New (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1995 -5 796 797 000 Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1996 -3.227.429.000House bill, fiscal year 1996 +615,332,0001996 Senate bill, fiscal year 1996 -630,586,000

> JOE SKEEN, JOHN T. MYERS, JAMES T. WALSH. JAY DICKEY, JACK KINGSTON. FRANK RIGGS. GEORGE R. NETHERCUTT, JR... BOB LIVINGSTON. RICHARD J. DURBIN. MARCY KAPTUR, (EXCEPT FOR AMENDMENTS 30 AND 150 AND THE PROVISION ON APHIS GUARANTINE EXEMPTION). RAY THORNTON. NITA M. LOWEY, DAVID R. OBEY, (EXCEPT FOR AMENDMENT 150), Managers on the Part of the House.

THAD COCHRAN, ARLEN SPECTER. KIT BOND. SLADE GORTON. MITCH McCONNELL CONRAD BURNS. MARK HATFIELD. DALE BUMPERS TOM HARKIN. J. ROBERT KERREY, J. BENNETT JOHNSTON. HERB KOHL, ROBERT BYRD, Managers on the Part of the Senate.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 895. SMALL BUSINESS LENDING EN-HANCEMENT ACT OF 1995

Mrs. MEYERS of Kansas submitted the following conference report and statement on the Senate bill (S. 895) to amend the Small Business Act to re-

duce the level of participation by the Small Business Administration in certain loans guaranteed by the administration, and for other purposes.

Conference Report (H. Rept. 104-269)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 895). to amend the Small Business Act to reduce the level of participation by the Small Business Administration in certain loans guaranteed by the Administration, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as fol-

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the text of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the House amendment, insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Small Business Lending Enhancement Act of 1995"

SEC. 2. REDUCED LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION IN GUARANTEED LOANS.

Section 7(a)(2) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(2)) is amended to read as follows: "(2) LEVEL OF PARTICIPATION IN GUARANTEED LOANS.

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), in an agreement to participate in a loan on a deferred basis under this subsection (including a loan made under the Preferred Lenders Program), such participation by the Administration shall be equal to-

"(i) 75 percent of the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of disbursement of the loan, if such balance exceeds \$100,000; or

''(ii) 80 percent of the balance of the financing outstanding at the time of disbursement of the loan, if such balance is less than or equal to \$100,000.

"(B) REDUCED PARTICIPATION UPON RE-QUEST.

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The guarantee percentage specified by subparagraph (A) for any loan under this subsection may be reduced upon the

request of the participating lender.
"(ii) PROHIBITION.—The Administration shall not use the guarantee percentage requested by a participating lender under clause (i) as a criterion for establishing priorities in approving loan guarantee requests under this subsection.

'(C) INTEREST RATE UNDER PREFERRED LEND-ERS PROGRAM. —

'(i) In general.—The maximum interest rate for a loan guaranteed under the Preferred Lenders Program shall not exceed the maximum interest rate, as determined by the Administration, applicable to other loans guaranteed under this subsection.

'(ii) Preferred Lenders program de-FINED.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term 'Preferred Lenders Program' means any program established by the Administrator, as authorized under the proviso in section 5(b)(7), under which a written agreement between the lender and the Administration delegates to the lender-

'(I) complete authority to make and close loans with a guarantee from the Administration without obtaining the prior specific approval of the Administration: and

"(II) authority to service and liquidate such loans.

SEC. 3. GUARANTEE FEES.

(a) AMOUNT OF FEES.—Section 7(a)(18) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(18)) is amended to read as follows:

'(18) GUARANTEE FEES.—

'(A) IN GENERAL.—With respect to each loan guaranteed under this subsection (other than a loan that is repayable in 1 year or less), the Administration shall collect a guarantee fee, which shall be payable by the participating lender and may be charged to the borrower, in an amount equal to the sum of-

'(i) 3 percent of the amount of the deferred participation share of the loan that is less than or equal to \$250,000;

"(ii) if the deferred participation share of the

loan exceeds \$250,000, 3.5 percent of the difference between-

"(I) \$500,000 or the total deferred participation share of the loan, whichever is less; and

"(II) \$250,000; and

"(iii) if the deferred participation share of the loan exceeds \$500,000, 3.875 percent of the difference between-

"(I) the total deferred participation share of the loan; and

"(II) \$500,000.

"(B) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN LOANS.—Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), if the total deferred participation share of a loan guaranteed under this subsection is less than or equal to \$80,000, the guarantee fee collected under subparagraph (A) shall be in an amount equal to 2 percent of the total deferred participation share of the loan."

(b) REPEAL OF PROVISIONS ALLOWING RETEN-TION OF FEES BY LENDERS.—Section 7(a)(19) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)(19)) is amended-

(1) in subparagraph (B)-

(A) by striking "shall (i) develop" and insert-ing "shall develop"; and (B) by striking ", and (ii)" and all that fol-

lows through the end of the subparagraph and inserting a period; and

(2) by striking subparagraph (C).

SEC. 4. ESTABLISHMENT OF ANNUAL FEE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

''(23) Annual fee.— ''(A) In general.—With respect to each loan guaranteed under this subsection, the Administration shall, in accordance with such terms and procedures as the Administration shall establish by regulation, assess and collect an annual fee in an amount equal to 0.5 percent of the outstanding balance of the deferred participation share of the loan.

"(B) PAYER.—The annual fee assessed under subparagraph (A) shall be payable by the participating lender and shall not be charged to the borrower.

CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 5(g)(4)(A) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 634(g)(4)(A)) is amended—

(1) by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: "The Administration may collect the following: a fee for any loan guarantee sold into the secondary market under subsection (f) in an amount equal to not more than 50 percent of the portion of the sale price that exceeds 110 percent of the outstanding principal amount of the portion of the loan guaranteed by the Administration.": and

(2) by striking "fees" each place such term appears and inserting "fee"

SEC. 5. NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.

Section 7(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 636(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

(24) NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—The Administration shall notify the Committees on Small Business of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 15 days before making any significant policy or administrative change affecting the operation of the loan program under this subsection.".

SEC. 6. DEVELOPMENT COMPANY DEBENTURES.

Section 503(b) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (15 U.S.C. 697(b)) is amended-(1) in paragraph (5), by striking "and" at the

end:

(2) in paragraph (6), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and